### **Technical Manual**

Robert M. Nideffer, Ph.D.



#### **Related Products from MHS**

BarOn Emotional Quotient-360 (BarOn EQ-360™)

BarOn Emotional Quotient Inventory (BarOn EQ-i®)

BarOn Emotional Quotient-interview™ (BarOn EQ-interview™)

BarOn Emotional Quotient Inventory: Short (BarOn EQ-i:S™)

Benchmark of Organizational Emotional Intelligence (BOEI™)

Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT™)

The EQ Edge: Emotional Intelligence and Your Success

Make Your Workplace Great: The 7 Keys to an Emotionally Intelligent Organization

Please see the latest MHS catalog for details on these and other products, or contact MHS Customer Service.

1-800-456-3003 (U.S.)

1-800-268-6011 (Canada)

0845 601 7603 (U.K.)

+1-416-492-2627 (International)

customerservice@mhs.com

www.mhs.com

This manual was edited and typeset by Wendy Gordon using Adobe FrameMaker 7.2, using the Times Roman and Myriad Pro fonts. The cover was designed by Nick Jones using Adobe Illustrator 9.0.1 and Adobe Photoshop 6.0. The Attentional and Interpersonal Style Inventory and TAIS are trademarks of EPS.

Copyright © 2007 Enhanced Performance Systems under exclusive license to Multi-Health Systems Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this manual, the sample forms, reports, or any related materials protected by copyrights are to be printed or otherwise reproduced by any means, including electronic storage within a computer program or database, without the permission of the publisher. These materials may not be translated into a natural or computer language without permission. This copyright is protected through the laws of the United States, Canada, and other countries. Persons who violate the copyrights on these materials may be liable to prosecution. Ethical codes of various professional associations to which users are likely to belong specifically prohibit both illegal behaviors and actions that would deny other parties fair compensation for their work. Persons who violate professional ethical codes related to inappropriate and unfair use of these materials may be brought before the relevant professional associations to which they belong.

The information included in this manual does not constitute, and shall not be considered, the advice, recommendation, assessment, or endorsement of EPS or MHS. To the extent permitted by law, any statutory or implied warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose is completely denied and disclaimed. EPS and MHS shall not be liable for any third party claims, lost profits, lost savings, loss of information, or any other incidental damages or other economic consequential damages resulting from the use of the manual.

Published in Canada by Multi-Health Systems Inc. Printed in Canada.

November, 2007

#### **About the Author**

The author of sixteen books, Dr. Nideffer is the developer of both the theory and the Test of Attentional and Interpersonal Style (TAIS) Inventory. As a researcher and practitioner, he has spent the past 35 years exploring the relationship between individuals' concentration skills, their level of emotional arousal, and their ability to perform in high-pressure situations. He is recognized as an expert in research in the medical sciences, and his writings and theoretical formulations have had a profound impact on the field of Sport Psychology and on the way high performance athletes around the world prepare for competition. Descriptions of his research and work with high level performers have appeared in Business Week, Dun's Review, Fast Company, New York Times, National Observer, Sports Illustrated, Psychology Today, and many other national and international publications.

#### **Author's Preface**

The Attentional and Interpersonal Style (TAIS) Technical Manual describes the development and the latest research on a psychometric instrument that was designed to provide highly specific performance relevant feedback to individuals who must be able to perform at high levels of pressure. This manual represents one of the latest developments in work I began over three decades ago with the University of Rochester's psycho-educational and biofeedback laboratory.

The construct of attentional styles is important because it lends itself to the behavioral definition of the various types of concentration required by vastly different performance arenas. Whether a person is performing in business, sales, sport, or in an education environment, the attentional constructs measured by TAIS lead to the identification of an individual's concentration strengths and weaknesses within that person's particular performance environment. It is those attentional constructs, in combination with other personal and interpersonal attributes, that allow certified professionals to get at the root cause of various performance issues, and then to design interventions to help the individual improve performance.

I would like to thank the staff at MHS for their support, encouragement, and assistance in helping me put this technical manual together.

Robert M. Nideffer, Ph.D. November, 2007

#### **Acknowledgments**

The addition of the Test of Attention and Interpersonal Style (TAIS) to MHS' corporate product line is a result of the dedication of many hard working individuals. I would sincerely like to thank Dr. Robert Nideffer, the author of TAIS, for his help and guidance throughout. Dr. Nideffer's knowledge and enthusiasm for the project was beneficial to us achieving our goals. I would also like to thank the staff of Enhanced Performance Systems for their continued support and commitment.

I would like to express gratitude to the staff of MHS for their immense contribution to the development of the TAIS manual and reports. Karen Lyncook and Maria-Christina Micieli were instrumental in the management of this project and helped to keep us focused on the tasks at hand. Wendy Gordon's involvement, in many different capacities, was immense. Her contribution is noticeable in the conceptualization, development, and maintenance of manual documentation and supporting material. I would also like to thank Maggie Burdick, Theresa McCuaig, and Deena Logan for their assistance with this manual.

I would like to extend my appreciation to Nick Jones for his creativity in the creation of the manual cover and to Rick Walrond for his involvement in the online administration and scoring of the tool.

Lastly, I would like to thank Hazel Wheldon, David Groth, Gerry Fitzgerald, and Steve and Rodeen Stein for their excitement and support in adding TAIS to the MHS suite of tools.

Peter Papadogiannis, Ph.D. November, 2007

# **Table of Contents**

|                                | About the Author Author's PrefaceAcknowledgments | i   |
|--------------------------------|--|-----|
|                                | List of Tables<br>List of Figures                |     |
| Chapter 1:                     |  |     |
| ntroduction                    |  |     |
|                                | Main Features of TAIS                            | . 1 |
|                                | Description of TAIS                              |     |
|                                | TAIS Normative Populations                       |     |
|                                | Student Norms                                    |     |
|                                | Adolescent Norms                                 |     |
|                                | Catholic Priests                                 |     |
|                                | Business NormsSports Norms                       |     |
|                                | Uses of TAIS                                     |     |
|                                | Business Settings                                |     |
|                                | Sport Settings                                   |     |
|                                | Police and Military Applications                 |     |
|                                | Research Settings                                |     |
|                                | User Qualifications                              |     |
|                                | Contents of this Manual                          |     |
| Chapter 2:<br>Administration & | Scoring  |     |
|                                | General Administration Guidelines                | . 9 |
|                                | Required Materials                               |     |
|                                | Administration Time                              |     |
|                                | Readability                                      |     |
|                                | Obtaining Informed Consent                       |     |
|                                | Online Administration Procedure                  |     |
|                                | Step 1: Establish Your Online Testing Account    |     |
|                                | Step 2: Instruct the Respondents                 |     |
|                                | Step 3: Debrief the Respondents                  |     |
|                                | Step 4: Review TAIS Report                       |     |
|                                | Step 5: Deliver Feedback                         |     |
|                                | Paper-and-Pencil Administration Procedure        |     |
|                                | Step 1: Establish Your Online Testing Account    |     |
|                                | Step 2: Instruct the Respondents                 |     |
|                                | Step 3: Debrief the Respondents                  |     |
|                                | Step 4: Enter Responses Online                   |     |
|                                | Step 5: Review Reports                           |     |
|                                | Step 6: Deliver Feedback                         |     |
|                                | ·  |     |

|                    | Report Types  | 14 |
|--------------------|---|----|
|                    | Business Report or Sales Report   |    |
|                    | Scale Report or Basic Scale Report                                      |    |
|                    | Business Leader Report  |    |
|                    |   |    |
|                    | Management Development Report   |    |
|                    | Interaction Report  |    |
|                    | Athlete's Mental Edge Sport Report (AME)                                |    |
|                    | Norm Groups   | 16 |
| Chapter 3:         |   |    |
| -                  |   |    |
| TAIS Interpretatio | n Guidelines  |    |
|                    | Summary Score Profiles  | 20 |
|                    |   |    |
|                    | Response Set and Response Style Influences                              |    |
|                    | Response Sets   |    |
|                    | Detecting a Faking Good Response Set                                    |    |
|                    | Response Styles   |    |
|                    | Detecting a Conservative Response Style                                 |    |
|                    | Detecting an Extreme Response Style                                     |    |
|                    | Interpreting Scale Scores   |    |
|                    | Cautions When Interpreting Scores                                       |    |
|                    | Response Profile Patterns   |    |
|                    | Confidence Factor (BET, BIT, INFP, CON, SES, EXT, IEX, PAE)             |    |
|                    | Energy Factor (BET, BIT, INFP)  |    |
|                    | Competitiveness Factor (CON, SES, PO)                                   |    |
|                    | Extroversion Factor (EXT, INT, PAE)                                     |    |
|                    | Critical Factor (CON, IEX, NAE)   | 30 |
|                    | Anxiety Factor (OIT, RED, OBS)  | 30 |
|                    | Distractibility Factor (NAR, OET, OIT, BCON, NAE)                       |    |
|                    | Presenting TAIS Results   |    |
|                    | Mission Profiles  |    |
|                    | The CEO Mission Profile   |    |
|                    | The World Champion Athlete Mission Profile                              |    |
|                    | Creating a Mission Profile  |    |
|                    | <u>-</u>  |    |
|                    | Interpreting Mission Profiles   |    |
|                    | Establishing a Prognosis for Change                                     |    |
|                    | Case Studies  |    |
|                    | Case 1 Fred: Business Team Building                                     |    |
|                    | Response Style  |    |
|                    | Mission Profile and the Five-Step Interpretation Process                |    |
|                    | Consensual Validation   |    |
|                    | Prognosis and SuggestionsManager who is not Managing                    |    |
|                    | Case 2 Bernard: Engineering Manager who is not Managing  Response Style |    |
|                    | Mission Profile and the Five-Step Interpretation Process                |    |
|                    | Consensual Validation   |    |
|                    | Prognosis and Suggestions   |    |
|                    | Case 3 Hector: Professional Athlete with an Attitude Problem            |    |
|                    | Response Style  |    |
|                    | Mission Profile and the Five-Step Interpretation Process                |    |
|                    | Consensual Validation   |    |
|                    | Prognosis and Suggestions   | 43 |

|                         | Case 4 Clarice: Applicant for a Regional Sales Manager Role                          |    |
|-------------------------|--|----|
|                         | Response Style   |    |
|                         | Consensual Validation  |    |
|                         | Additional Interpretation Resources  |    |
| Chapter 4:              |  |    |
| Theory of Attentional a | nd Personal Style  |    |
| Меас                    | surement of Competencies   | 47 |
| Meas                    | Concentration Skills (Cognitive Abilities)   |    |
|                         | Intra- and Interpersonal Behaviors   |    |
| Maxi                    | mizing Performance   |    |
| Maxii                   | Building on Skills and Knowledge   |    |
|                         | Identifying Dominant Behaviors and Preferred Skills                                  |    |
|                         | Identifying Situational Requirements   |    |
|                         | Matching Preferred Skills and Dominant Behaviors with Situation                      |    |
|                         | Requirements   |    |
| Unde                    | erstanding Cognitive Arousal and Performance   |    |
| onae                    | What Conditions Cause Changes in Level of Arousal?                                   |    |
|                         | What conditions cause changes in Level of Allousur                                   |    |
| Chapter 5:              |  |    |
| TAIS Psychometric Prop  | perties  |    |
| Deve                    | lopment of TAIS Scales   | 55 |
| TAIS                    | Reliability  | 59 |
|                         | Internal Consistency of TAIS Scale Items   |    |
|                         | Test-Retest Reliability  | 60 |
| Conto                   | ent Validity   | 62 |
|                         | Face Validity  | 62 |
|                         | Factorial Validity   | 63 |
| Cons                    | truct Validity   | 66 |
|                         | TAIS and Measures of Anxiety   | 66 |
|                         | TAIS and Selected MMPI Scales  | 67 |
|                         | TAIS and Intelligence Testing  |    |
|                         | Gender Differences on TAIS   | 70 |
|                         | Between-Group Differences  | 74 |
|                         | TAIS and Psychiatric Patients  |    |
|                         | TAIS and Elite Level Performers  |    |
|                         | Military Officers versus Noncommissioned Officers                                    |    |
|                         | TAIS and Type of Sport<br>TAIS and Coach Ratings                                     |    |
|                         | TAIS and AgeTAIS and Age   |    |
|                         | Age and the Attentional Scales on TAIS   |    |
|                         | Age and Competitiveness and Leadership   |    |
|                         | Age and Extroversion, Introversion, and Positive Affect Expression                   |    |
|                         | Age, Gender, and Behavioral Impulsivity, Anger, and Intellectual                     |    |
|                         | Expression   |    |
|                         | Situational Influences on TAIS Scores  |    |
|                         | Changes in Respondents' TAIS Scores over Time<br>TAIS Scores in Different Conditions |    |
|                         | TAIS SCOTES III DITTETETIL COTTUILIONS   | 98 |

|             | Predictive Validity  | 99  |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | TAIS Predictive Validity in Sport  | 100 |
|             | TAIS with Police and the Military  | 100 |
|             | TAIS and Prediction of Code of Conduct Violations in the Marine            |     |
|             | Corps  |     |
|             | TAIS and Basic Underwater Demolition Seal (BUDS) Training                  |     |
|             | TAIS Predictive Validity in Business and Education                         |     |
|             | TAIS and Nonverbal Communication   |     |
|             | TAIS and Mergers and Acquisitions  |     |
|             | TAIS Scales Comparing Engineering and Sales                                |     |
|             | TAIS Predictive Validity in Clinical and Counseling Situations             |     |
|             | TAIS and Marital SatisfactionTAIS and Learning Disabilities in Adolescents |     |
|             | TAIS and Learning Disabilities in Adolescents                              |     |
|             | Summary  |     |
| References  |  | 123 |
| Appendix A: |  |     |
| • •         |  | 129 |
|             | Business Report  |     |
|             | Sales Report   |     |
|             | Scale Report   |     |
|             |  |     |
|             | Basic Scale Report   |     |
|             | Business Leader Report   |     |
|             | Management Development Report  |     |
|             | Interaction Report   |     |
|             | Athlete's Mental Edge Report   | 197 |
| Index       |  | 213 |

## **List of Tables**

| Table 1.1:  | TAIS Scales  | 2    |
|-------------|--|------|
| Table 1.2:  | Athlete's Mental Edge Sport Report Scales  | 16   |
| Table 3.1:  | TAIS Profile Patterns and their Associated Scales  | 19   |
| Table 3.2:  | Interpretive Guidelines for Percentile Scores  | 24   |
| Table 3.3:  | TAIS Scale Descriptions  |      |
| Table 5.1:  | Comparison of Irrelevant versus Predicted Item-Total Scale Correlations in TAIS  Item Pool                           | 56   |
| Table 5.2:  | TAIS Interscale Correlations Sample 1 ( $n = 302$ )  |      |
| Table 5.3:  | TAIS Interscale Correlations Sample 2 ( $n = 353$ )  |      |
| Table 5.4:  | TAIS Scale Internal Consistency Coefficients   |      |
| Table 5.5:  | TAIS Test-Retest Reliability   |      |
| Table 5.6:  | Breakdown of Business Norm Group by Gender and Level of Management   | 63   |
| Table 5.7:  | TAIS Factor Structure  |      |
| Table 5.8:  | Relationship between TAIS, STAI, and TMAS  | 67   |
| Table 5.9:  | Correlations Between TAIS and the MMPI Depression and Mania Scales   |      |
| Table 5.10: | Correlations Between TAIS and the WAIS for a Group of Psychiatric Patients   | 70   |
| Table 5.11: | Gender Differences on TAIS Scales  |      |
| Table 5.12: | Respondent Breakdown Based on Age, Gender, and Level of Performance  | 73   |
| Table 5.13: | TAIS Scale Comparisons between High-Level Performers in Sport and Business and College Students                      | 75   |
| Table 5.14: | TAIS Scale Comparisons between CEOs, Business Managers, AIS Elite Athletes, and World Champion Athletes              | 77   |
| Table 5.15: | TAIS Scale Percentile Scores and T-test Comparisons for Two Groups of CEOs and Two Groups of World Champion Athletes |      |
| Table 5.16: | TAIS Scale Comparisons between Officers and Noncommissioned Officers (NCOs)  |      |
| Table 5.17: | TAIS discriminant Analysis Classification  |      |
| Table 5.18: | Classification of AIS Athletes on the Basis of Gender and Type of Sport  |      |
| Table 5.19: | Gender and Age Breakdown for Athletes at the Australian Institute for Sport (AIS)                                    |      |
| Table 5.20: | Age Levels by Gender by TAIS Attentional Scale Scores Analysis of Variance   |      |
| Table 5.21: | Analysis of Variance between Age, Gender, and TAIS Scales Measuring  Competitiveness and Leadership                  |      |
| Table 5.22: | ANOVA of Age by Gender by TAIS EXT, INT, and PAE Scales  |      |
| Table 5.22: | ANOVA of Age by Gender by TAIS EXT, INT, and NAE Scales  |      |
| Table 5.23: | Gender and Age Breakdown of AlS Athlete Pretest and Posttest Group   |      |
| Table 5.25: | AIS Athlete TAIS Scale Pretest and Posttest Comparisons  |      |
| Table 5.26: | Correlations Between Diving Performance and TAIS Scales Under Different  | 50   |
| Table 5.20. | Instructional Sets   |      |
| Table 5.27: | Regression Analysis to Predict Performance Appraisal of Police Applicants  | .101 |
| Table 5.28: | Discriminant Function Analysis of TAIS Scales to Predict Violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice          | 102  |
| Table 5.29: | T-test Comparisons of TAIS Scale Percentile Differences between Successful and                                       |      |
|             | Unsuccessful Police Applicants nd Marine Recruits  |      |
| Table 5.30: | Discriminant Function Classification Results, Hell Week: Class 142   |      |
| Table 5.31: | Discriminant Function Classification Results, Hell Week: Class 143   |      |
| Table 5 32  | TAIS Factor Scores as Predictors of PONS Scores  | 106  |

| Table 5.33: | T-test Comparisons of Selected versus Unselected Corporate Officers and Director | s109 |
|-------------|--|------|
| Table 5.34: | T-test Comparisons of TAIS Scale Scores as a Function of Level of Management     | 110  |
| Table 5.35: | Respondent Breakdown by Gender and Job   | 111  |
| Table 5.36: | Job x Gender x TAIS Attention ANOVA  | 111  |
| Table 5.37: | Job x Gender x TAIS Errors ANOVA   | 112  |
| Table 5.38: | Job x Gender x TAIS Competitive ANOVA  | 113  |
| Table 5.39: | Job x Gender x TAIS Extroversion ANOVA   | 114  |
| Table 5.40: | Job x Gender x TAIS Critical Scales ANOVA  | 115  |
| Table 5.41: | Regression of TAIS Scales on Husbands' Marital Satisfaction                      | 116  |
| Table 5.42: | Regression of TAIS Scales on Wives' Marital Satisfaction                         | 117  |
| Table 5.43: | TAIS Factor Structure for Adolescents  |      |
| Table 5.44: | Discriminant Function Analysis on TAIS Scales Predicting LD (N = 29) versus      |      |
|             | Non-LD Adolescents (N = 29)  | 119  |
| Table 5.45: | TAIS Scale Discriminant Function Loadings Predicting Burnout in Catholic Priests | 120  |
| Table 5.46: | Relationships between TAIS Scales and Psychological Problems                     | 121  |
|             |  |      |

# **List of Figures**

| Figure 2.1:  | Sample Interaction Report Results   | 15 |
|--------------|---|----|
| Figure 3.1:  | Sample TAIS Percentile Scores   | 21 |
| Figure 3.2:  | Fred's Percentile Scores  |    |
| Figure 3.3:  | Bernard's Percentile Scores   |    |
| Figure 3.4:  | Hector's Percentile Scores  | 42 |
| Figure 3.5:  | Clarice's Percentile Scores   | 44 |
| Figure 4.1:  | Attentional Styles  | 48 |
| Figure 5.1:  | Attentional Preferences as a Function of Gender   | 72 |
| Figure 5.2:  | Concentration Skills as a Function of Job and Level of Performance  | 78 |
| Figure 5.3:  | Concentration Errors as a Function of Job and Level of Performance  | 79 |
| Figure 5.4:  | Control, Self-Confidence, and Competitiveness as a Function of Job and Level of Performance                           | 81 |
| Figure 5.5:  | Orientation Toward Risk, Intellectual Expression, and Expression of Anger as a Functi of Job and Level of Performance | on |
| Figure 5.6:  | Concentration Skills as a Function of Type of Sport   |    |
| Figure 5.7:  | Concentration Errors as a Function of Type of Sport   | 87 |
| Figure 5.8:  | Extroversion, Introversion, and Positive Affect Expression as a Function of Type of                                   |    |
|              | Sport   | 87 |
| Figure 5.9:  | TAIS Attentional Scale Scores as a Function of Age of Athlete   | 90 |
| Figure 5.10: | Athlete Scores on TAIS Scales Making up the Competitiveness Factor as a Function of Age and Gender                    | 92 |
| Figure 5.11: | Impulsivity, Intellectual Expression, and Expression of Anger as a Function of Age and Gender                         |    |
| Figure 5.12: | Changes in TAIS Attention Scale Scores over Eighteen Months   |    |
| Figure 5.13: | Score on TAIS Competitiveness Factor as a Function of Job and Gender  |    |

# Chapter 1: Introduction

The Attentional and Interpersonal Style (TAIS) inventory is an easily administered self-report instrument designed to measure those concentration skills and interpersonal characteristics that are the building blocks of performance. TAIS is used to identify the types of situations and conditions under which individuals are more or less likely to perform at their potential. The instrument is used for both selection and development purposes with groups of individuals who are expected to perform at high levels under dangerous, stressful, and highly competitive conditions. It is used with elite athletes, military and paramilitary units, and with individuals in business environments where pressure and change are constants.

According to Nideffer (1976a) and Nideffer, Farrell, and O'Hara (2002), successful performance in any situation depends upon an individual's ability to shift his/her focus of concentration along a dimension of width (broad to narrow), and a dimension of direction (external to internal), in response to the changing demands of the performance situation. The ability to make those shifts is affected by the individual's preferred or dominant style of attending and by his/her level of emotional arousal. TAIS measures the individual's relative concentration strengths and weaknesses, and helps to identify the environmental and interpersonal situations that will increase and decrease emotional arousal. This conceptual model is described in more detail in chapter 4.

#### **Main Features of TAIS**

TAIS integrates concentration skills with personal and interpersonal attributes in a way which allows the user to get at the root cause of performance issues, and to develop behavioral prescriptions for managing those issues. The instrument offers the user a number of important features. Specifically, TAIS

- is supported by more than 25 years of research and application
- has twenty scales measuring six different concentration skills and fourteen different personal and interpersonal behavioral attributes
- has normative data on a large number of elite level performers in a wide variety of performance environments (see the Description of TAIS section for a description of norm groups)
- includes age- and gender-specific norms, where applicable
- · is easy to administer, score, and profile via the web
- has computerized reports targeted to business, sales, military, and sports applications

- can be administered in English, French, Italian, or German (all narrative reports are in English)
- is endorsed by world-changing business leaders across North America, Europe, and Asia, who participated in the norming
- has excellent reliability and validity

### **Description of TAIS**

TAIS consists of 144 items distributed across twenty scales. The four broad areas measured by TAIS are: Concentration and Information Processing, Prognostic Indicators, Interpersonal Characteristics, and Scales in Development. Table 1.1 lists the specific scales included in each area.

**Table 1.1: TAIS Scales** 

| Scale Name De                         | escription  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| oncentration & Information Processing |   |  |
| Awareness (BET)                       | <ul> <li>Responsivity to task irrelevant external cues</li> <li>Ability to think strategically, analyze, and plan</li> <li>Distraction by feelings or thoughts</li> </ul>   |  |
| Prognostic Indicators                 |   |  |
| Information Processing (INFP)         | . Control over emotions and adherence to rules  |  |
| Interpersonal Characteristics         |   |  |
| Control (CON)                         | . Enjoyment of competitive physical activity  |  |
| Extroversion (EXT)                    | <ul> <li>Enjoyment of other people, initiate social contact</li> <li>Enjoyment of personal space, ability to work alone</li> <li>Expression of thoughts and ideas to others</li> <li>Expression of anger, confront, set limits</li> </ul> |  |
| Scales in Development                 |   |  |
| Focus Over Time (FOT)                 |   |  |

Introduction: Description of TAIS

TAIS is suitable for use with adults of all ages. Norms have also been developed for adolescents, and TAIS has been used to assess elite level athletes as young as eleven, in sports like swimming, gymnastics, and figure skating (Bond & Nideffer, 1992).

TAIS uses a five point Likert-style response format in which respondents are asked to indicate the frequency with which a particular item describes their behavior. The response options are "never," "rarely," "sometimes," "frequently," and "always." TAIS scales were designed in such a way that extreme scores at either end of the continuum indicate the behavior measured by that scale tends to be more or less transituational or trait-like.

The respondents' raw scores on TAIS are standardized and converted to percentiles based on the means and standard deviations of 151 male and 151 female undergraduate students at the University of Rochester (Nideffer, 1976a). Those percentile scores are then compared to the normative population selected by the test administrator.

Collecting normative data is a crucial part of test development. Norms establish a baseline against which all subsequent results are compared, enabling the test developer to capture the characteristics of an "average" respondent. Norms indicate the average performance on a test, and the frequency of deviation above and below the average (Anastasi, 1988).

#### **TAIS Normative Populations**

#### **Student Norms**

A total of 151 male and 151 female students at the University of Rochester, with a mean age of 19.4 years. These are the standard norms.

#### Adolescent Norms

A total of 133 males and 129 females in public school in Southern California, with a minimum GPA of 2.0. Grades 7, 8, and 9, ages 12–15 years.

#### **Catholic Priests**

A total of 239 Catholic priests from 93 dioceses across the U.S. Mean age 40.34 years, mean length of service 13.92 years.

#### **Business Norms**

- CEOs: A total of 940 males and 95 females with a mean age of 43.9 years. Ninety percent of the CEOs reside in the US or Canada with the remainder working in Europe or Asia.
- Business Executives: This group consists of 91 males and 89 females with a
  mean age of 43 years. The group consists of senior managers including GMs
  and VPs but does not include presidents and CEOs. These managers work in
  financial institutions, the oil and gas industry, transportation, manufacturing,
  and high technology companies. The companies are headquartered in North
  America, but approximately 5% of the senior managers work in offices over-
- Managers: This group consists of 274 males and 277 females with a mean age
  of 41.5 years. This group includes managers up to the level of director. These
  managers work in financial institutions, the oil and gas industry, transportation, manufacturing, and high technology companies. The companies are

- headquartered in North America, but approximately 5% of the senior managers work in offices overseas.
- Supervisors: This group consists of 50 males and 50 females with a mean age of 37.9 years. These supervisors work in manufacturing environments in North America.
- Engineers: This group consists of 354 male and 36 female engineers with a mean age of 39.1 years. Ninety percent of this group resides in North America, the remainder work in Europe. The group includes all engineering disciplines, and the respondents represent a variety of industries.
- Sales Persons: This group consists of 166 males and 167 females with a mean age of 38.8 years. These individuals are selling high technology products and services, investments and insurance, and other manufactured goods. This group of participants work throughout the U.S. and Canada.
- Sales Managers: This group consists of 70 male and 13 female sales managers with a mean age of 40.7 years. They are responsible for regional and national sales of products and services in the automotive, energy, high technology, biomedical, and financial areas.
- HR Personnel: This group consists of 164 females and 55 males with a mean age of 37.0 years. All these individuals work for companies headquartered in North America, though approximately 10% work in offices overseas. The group includes HR managers.
- Program Managers: This group consists of 112 males and 33 females with a mean age of 37.7 years. These individuals work in manufacturing environments, with approximately 90% residing in North America and the remainder working in Europe or China.
- Finance: This group consists of 85 males and 41 females with a mean age of 37.8 years. These individuals function as controllers, program accountants, CFOs, and VPs of Finance in a wide variety of corporate settings across the U.S. and Canada.
- Purchasing: This group consists of 60 males and 23 females with a mean age of 40.1 years, working in a variety of corporate settings across the U.S. and Canada
- Law Enforcement: This group consists of 203 males and 31 females working as police officers or special agents across the U.S. The majority are trained in the use of special weapons and tactics (SWAT).
- Marine Recruits: This group consists of 3,274 Marine recruits between the ages of 17 and 28.

#### **Sports Norms**

- World Champions: This group consists of 239 respondents who competed in 23 sports in five countries. The group included 68 females with a mean age of 23.1 years, and 171 males with a mean age of 25.2 years. They won 229 Olympic medals, including 112 gold, 44 silver, and 73 bronze and 170 World Championships.
- Male Student Athletes: This group consists of 316 university students with a mean age of 19.3 years. These athletes are competing at the first division level

Introduction: Uses of TAIS

in hockey, baseball, swimming, rowing, football, tennis, track, golf, and basketball.

- Female Student Athletes: This group consists of 125 university students with a mean age of 19.4 years. These athletes are competing at the first division level in softball, tennis, swimming, golf, field hockey, rowing, and track.
- Professional Athletes: This group consists of 479 male and 20 female professional athletes, with a mean age of 24.1 years, involved in the sports of golf, tennis, soccer, baseball, basketball, and hockey.

Refer to the Summary Score Profiles section in chapter 3 for a detailed explanation of the rationale behind retaining the original college population on which TAIS was developed as the normative group for comparisons.

#### **Uses of TAIS**

TAIS measures what Nideffer refers to as "the building blocks of performance" (2003). These are concentration skills and interpersonal characteristics that have relevance in one combination or another, across virtually any performance situation. Different individuals have different concentration and interpersonal strengths or dominant styles. These styles determine the types of situations in which they will or will not perform well, as well as the types of mistakes they are most likely to make under highly stressful conditions. When performance problems exist (e.g., poor decision making, loss of concentration under pressure, failure to confront issues, loss of emotional control and problems communicating), TAIS score patterns allow the administrator to determine the root cause of the problem, and to develop behavioral interventions designed to improve performance.

TAIS was developed for use in both research and applied settings. As an applied tool, the inventory is used for both performance appraisal and development of healthy individuals who have to be able to perform at high levels under stressful or highly competitive conditions. It is appropriate for use in any performance situation where concentration and interpersonal skills are critical determinants of success.

TAIS is recommended as part of a larger evaluation process together with other assessment methods and collateral information (e.g., interviews, other assessment tools, and behavioral observations) when available. The results obtained by the use of TAIS should be viewed as important focal points, to be further examined using additional methods, thereby providing a balanced and broader picture of the respondent.

#### **Business Settings**

Within business environments, TAIS is used for talent identification, performance appraisal, and performance development. When used in this way, information gained from TAIS is supplemented with and consensually validated by data from other sources. These may include, but are not limited to, interviews, scores from other psychological instruments, performance evaluations, and behavioral observations. TAIS can also be used as a coaching, teambuilding, succession planning, and management development tool (Nideffer, Farrell, & O'Hara, 2002).

Additional applications of TAIS in corporate settings are possible. MHS is devoted to helping managers develop an effective personnel performance appraisal and development system. Contact MHS at customerservice@mhs.com for more information.

#### **Sport Settings**

Sport competitions provide the ideal opportunity to see the importance of effective concentration and decision making in high-pressure situations. The consequences of decision-making errors and performance problems resulting from the ineffective deployment of attention are immediately obvious to everyone.

Because concentration is recognized as such a critical variable in sport, TAIS results can be used to provide an operational or behavioral framework for improving concentration. Instead of simply yelling at athletes to "concentrate" when they have performance problems, coaches and sport psychologists are able to provide them with specific instructions regarding how to concentrate, and on what. TAIS has been used as a part of the preliminary assessment of athletes when they first enter a training center (e.g., the Australian Institute for Sport) or training camp (e.g., spring training in baseball). Results are discussed with the athletes and their coaches with careful consideration of the athletes' concentration strengths and the demands of their sport, and suggestions are made for improving athletes' ability to concentrate effectively. Often, after several months, the inventory is re-administered to measure any changes that may have taken place, and to refine the training program (Bond & Sargent, 1995). TAIS has also been used in the certification of Canadian coaches at all levels to educate them about concentration and the role it plays in sport (Coaching Association of Canada, 1981).

#### **Police and Military Applications**

TAIS is used both for performance appraisal and for professional leadership development and team building with police forces, SWAT teams, and the military. There is no environment where the consequences of poor decisions are more severe than for police and military tactical units. When lives are on the line, the ability to stay focused on task relevant cues is critical. Knowing the team's concentration strengths and weaknesses can mean the difference between life and death.

As is the case in business, when TAIS results are used for performance appraisal purposes, they are supplemented with and consensually validated by data from other sources. For more information about the application of TAIS within military and police environments, contact Dr. Mark Lowry at: lowry@thewinningmind.com

#### **Research Settings**

At the researcher's discretion, TAIS can be employed when measures of concentration and attentional skills are needed. TAIS has and continues to be used in educational, clinical, and medical settings, and in industrial and organizational research. The results of a number of these studies are described in chapter 5.

Introduction: User Oualifications

#### **User Qualifications**

All users of psychological assessments such as TAIS should have an understanding of the basic principles and limitations of psychological testing, especially test interpretation. Specific issues related to the reliability and validity of TAIS are discussed in chapter 5. Although the inventory is easy to administer and score, which can encourage its use by research and administrative assistants and other support staff, the ultimate responsibility for administration, scoring, and interpretation must be assumed by an individual who has a background in psychometrics and understands the limitations of psychological testing. Moreover, it must be determined whether results obtained from TAIS are consistent with existing collateral information regarding the respondent before any action plans are implemented.

Individuals without formal psychological training and the relevant professional affiliations should be certified by an MHS- or EPS-approved trainer or certifying organization. Visit http://www.taisdata.com/index.php for details. Any person whose only exposure to psychometric assessment is gained from this manual is neither appropriate nor qualified as a user of this instrument. It is therefore strongly recommended that psychometrics training be obtained.

All users of TAIS should be familiar with the standards for psychological testing developed by the American Psychological Association and National Council on Measurement (AERA, APA, & NCME, 1999). Qualified users of this instrument should also be members of professional associations that endorse a set of standards for the ethical use of psychological tests, or licensed professionals in the areas of psychology, education, medicine, social work, or an allied field. The administrator should be familiar with procedures for avoiding biases, debriefing respondents, and obtaining informed consent.

#### **Contents of this Manual**

The remaining chapters of this manual provide detailed information about the administration and scoring of TAIS as well as interpretation and use of the results. There are also sections on its development and psychometric properties. Chapter 2 describes the administration and scoring of TAIS and the types of reports available. Chapter 3 provides a discussion on interpreting the results and their potential use. Chapter 4 gives information on the theoretical rationale behind the instrument. Chapter 5 presents information on the reliability and validity of the various scales and theoretical constructs.